

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,694	12/16/2003	Shigetaka Hamada	10517/198	3515
23838	7590 05/23/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP			BERHANU, SAMUEL	
1500 K STR SUITE 700	EET N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC 20005		2838	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/23/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H'
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,694	HAMADA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel Berhanu	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/2	<u>28/06</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	· ·	•	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2003 is/	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		n received in this National Stage	<b>}</b>
application from the International Burea	•	at received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies he	n receiveu.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-946)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buchner et al. (DE 196 49 434 C1).

Regarding Claim 1, Buchner et al. disclose, a diagnostic method for a fuel cell comprising a plurality of cells, comprising: supplying an anode of the fuel cell with hydrogen or a hydrogen-containing gas; supplying a cathode with an inert gas or vacuuming the cathode; measuring a voltage of each cell under a condition in which the hydrogen or the hydrogen-containing gas is supplied to the anode of the fuel cell and the inert gas is supplied to the cathode or the cathode is vacuumed, wherin an operation state of the fuel cell battery is changed when measuring the voltage of a cell (noted that in; and determining an amount of cross-leak based on a measured voltage of each cell (Page 1, Paragraph 2, Page 2, paragraph 6 and Page 3, Paragraph 1).

Regarding Claim 2, Buchner et al. disclose, wherein in the determining step, an amount of hydrogen cross-leak of each cell is determined from the measured voltage of each cell generated based on a principle of a hydrogen concentration cell (Page 2, Paragraph 2)

Application/Control Number: 10/735,694 Page 3

Art Unit: 2838

Regarding Claim 3, Buchner et al. disclose, detecting a gas pressure at the anode; calculating a pressure of the hydrogen-containing gas at the cathode based on the gas pressure at the anode and on the measured voltage of each cell; detecting a total pressure of the inert gas supplied to the cathode; detecting an amount of the inert gas supplied to the cathode; and calculating an amount of cross-leak based on the pressure of the hydrogen-containing gas at the cathode, on the total pressure of the inert gas supplied to the cathode, and on the amount of the inert gas supplied to the cathode (Page 3, lines 11-30, Page 4, lines 10-29).

Regarding Claim 4, Buchner et al. disclose, wherein the voltage of each cell is measured in a state where the plurality of cells are stacked (Page 1, Paragraph 3)

Regarding Claim 5, Buchner et al. disclose, changing at least one of the gas pressure at the anode and the gas pressure at the cathode when measuring the voltage of each cell (Page 2, Paragraph 2) (noted that the formula in Page 3, line 15 teaches that the voltage of each cell can be calculated with different value of pressure).

Regarding Claim 7, Buchner et al. disclose, wherein the inert gas supplied to the cathode is nitrogen (Page 3, line 3).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchner et al. (DE 196 49 434 C1) in view of Shimanuki ET. al. (US 6,777,121).

Regarding Claim 6, Buchner et al. do not disclose explicitly introducing a cooling medium into a battery of the fuel cell; and changing a temperature of the cooling medium when measuring the voltage of each cell. However, Shimanuki et al. disclose in Figures 1 and 4, a cooling medium (70) into a battery of the fuel cell (14); and changing a temperature of the cooling medium when measuring the voltage of each cell (noted that element 22 adjust and control the temperature, Column 2, lines 59-62). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to introduce a cooling medium with a control unit as taught by Shimanuki et. al. in Buchner Fuel cell test system in order to maintain a desire temperature during fuel cell test and obtain accurate test result.

5. Claims 8-11are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchner et al. (DE 196 49 434 C1) in view of Muchinc et. al. (US 6,558,824).

Regarding Claim 8, Buchner et al. disclose, a diagnostic method for a fuel cell comprising a plurality of cells, comprising: supplying an anode of the fuel cell with hydrogen or a hydrogen-containing gas; measuring a voltage of each cell under a condition in which the hydrogen or the hydrogen-containing gas is supplied to the anode of the fuel cell, and determining an amount of cross-leak based on a measured voltage of each cell (Page 1, Paragraph 2, Page 2, paragraph 6 and Page 3, Paragraph 1). Buchner et. al. do not disclose explicitly the cathode is vacuumed. However, Muchinc et. al. disclose in the abstract, column 2, lines 1-5, and claims 8 and 19, the cathode is

vacuumed. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a vacuum injecting means in Buchner et. al. fuel cell stack as taught by Muchine et. al. in order to remove the water to ensue proper test results and provide effective fuel cell leak monitoring system.

Regarding Claim 9, Buchner et al. disclose, wherein in the determining step, an amount of hydrogen cross-leak of each cell is determined from the measured voltage of each cell generated based on a principle of a hydrogen concentration cell (Page 2, Paragraph 2)

Regarding Claim 10, Buchner et al. disclose, wherein the voltage of each cell is measured in a state where the plurality of cells are stacked (Page 1, Paragraph 3)

Regarding Claim 11, Buchner et al. disclose, changing at least one of the gas pressure at the anode and the gas pressure at the cathode when measuring the voltage of each cell (Page 2, Paragraph 2) (noted that the formula in Page 3, line 15 teaches that the voltage of each cell can be calculated with different value of pressure).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchner et al. (DE 196 49 434 C1) in view of Muchinc et. al. (US 6,558,824)as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Shimanuki et. al. (US 6,777,121).

Art Unit: 2838

Regarding Claim 6, neither Buchner et al. nor Muchinc et. al. do not disclose explicitly introducing a cooling medium into a battery of the fuel cell; and changing a temperature of the cooling medium when measuring the voltage of each cell. However, Shimanuki et al. disclose in Figures 1 and 4, a cooling medium (70) into a battery of the fuel cell (14); and changing a temperature of the cooling medium when measuring the voltage of each cell (noted that element 22 adjust and control the temperature, Column 2, lines 59-62). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to introduce a cooling medium with a control unit as taught by Shimanuki et. al. in Buchner Fuel cell test system in order to maintain a desire temperature during fuel cell test and obtain accurate test result.

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 3/28/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Buchner et al. do not disclose, "wherein the operation state of the fuel cell is changed when measuring the voltage of a cell". This is incorrect.

Buchner et. al. disclose in page 2, paragraph 2, changing gas pressure during measurement, which as an applicant disclosure page 3, paragraph 3, yields the operation state of the fuel cell to be change during cell measurement. It is also noted that when measurement is taken current is drawn from the cell, which is also considered as an operational change of a fuel cell.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,694 Page 7

Art Unit: 2838

### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Berhanu whose telephone number is 571-272-8430. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,694

Art Unit: 2838

694 Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SB

KARL EASTHOM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER